Hypothalamic Neural Projections Are Permanently Disrupted in Diet-Induced Obese Rats
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SUMMARY

The arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (ARH) is a key component of hypothalamic pathways regulating energy balance, and leptin is required for normal development of ARH projections. Diet-induced obesity (DIO) has a polygenic mode of inheritance, and DIO individuals develop the metabolic syndrome when a moderate amount of fat is added to the diet. Here we demonstrate that rats selectively bred to develop DIO, which are known to be leptin resistant before they become obese, have defective ARH projections that persist into adulthood. Furthermore, the ability of leptin to activate intracellular signaling in ARH neurons in vivo and to promote ARH neurite outgrowth in vitro is significantly reduced in DIO neonates. Thus, animals that are genetically predisposed toward obesity display an abnormal organization of hypothalamic pathways involved in energy homeostasis that may be the result of diminished responsiveness of ARH neurons to the trophic actions of leptin during postnatal development.

INTRODUCTION

It is increasingly accepted that obesity results from a combination of genetic and environmental factors (Bouret and Simerly, 2006; Levin, 2006; Martin-Gronert and Ozanne, 2005; Plagemann, 2006). Rodent models of obesity are valuable tools for studying the underlying biological processes that contribute to the development of obesity in humans. The model of diet-induced obesity (DIO) in rodents is particularly suited to this task, as DIO in rats shares several features with human obesity, including polygenic inheritance (Levin et al., 2003a). In outbred Sprague-Dawley rats fed a moderate-fat, high-energy (HE) diet, about half develop DIO, whereas the remaining rats are diet resistant (DR), gaining no more weight than chow-fed controls (Levin et al., 1989).

One of the particular traits of DIO rats is that they exhibit leptin resistance characterized by elevated serum leptin and a decreased anorectic and thermogenic response to exogenous leptin (Gorski et al., 2007; Levin and Dunn-Meynell, 2002b; Levin et al., 2004). Interestingly, these metabolic abnormalities appear even before the animals are exposed to HE diet. Cumulative evidence suggests that the leptin resistance observed in DIO rats is mediated through central leptin insensitivity. DIO rats have decreased expression of mRNA for the long form of leptin receptor (LRb) associated with reduced [125I]leptin binding in hypothalamic nuclei known to mediate the anorectic actions of leptin, such as the arcuate (ARH), ventromedial (VMH), and dorsomedial (DMH) nuclei of the hypothalamus (Irani et al., 2007; Levin et al., 2003b, 2004). Furthermore, leptin-induced phosphorylation of STAT3, a key signaling pathway coupled to LRb, is reduced in the hypothalamus of DIO rats before they become obese (Levin et al., 2004).

During neonatal life, leptin plays a critical role in the development of hypothalamic circuits that regulate feeding (Bouret et al., 2004b). Development of projection pathways from the ARH is disrupted in leptin-deficient (Lepob/Lepob) neonates, and this defect appears to be persistent since a normal pattern of innervation is never achieved in adult Lepob/Lepob mice (Bouret et al., 2004b). Furthermore, both orexigenic AgRP/NPY and anorexigenic POMC projections are affected by leptin deficiency (Bouret et al., 2004b).

Although DIO is a widespread phenomenon, the neurobiological mechanisms that contribute to the phenotype are poorly understood. In the present study, we tested the hypothesis that the reduced central leptin sensitivity observed in DIO rats is associated with abnormal development of projection pathways from the ARH. To address this question, we compared the development of ARH circuits in offspring of DIO rats to that in offspring of DR rats. We also assessed the ability of leptin to induce neurite extension in vitro from ARH neurons derived from DIO versus DR rats.

RESULTS

Development of ARH Circuits Is Altered in Offspring of DIO Dams

To investigate whether the development of ARH projections in DIO rats is different from that in DR rats, we placed crystals
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of 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI), a fluorescent tracer that labels axonal projections, into the ARH of brains derived from DR and DIO rats perfused at postnatal days 12 and 16 (P12 and P16). Discrete injection sites were obtained in 38 cases in which crystalline deposits of DiI were confined to the ARH (P12 DR chow, n = 4; P16 DR chow, n = 5; P12 DR HE diet, n = 4; P16 DR HE diet, n = 4; P12 DIO chow, n = 5; P16 DIO chow, n = 5; P12 DIO HE diet, n = 6; P16 DIO HE diet, n = 5). In these cases, analysis of the implantation sites showed that the DiI extended throughout the ARH without significant spread of the tracer into adjacent areas (see Figure S1 available online). Similar to findings reported previously in mice (Bouret et al., 2004a, 2004b), the majority of labeled fibers emanating from the ARH were confined to the periventricular zone of the hypothalamus, which appears to be the major route for ascending ARH efferent connections. We paid particular attention to the development of projections from the ARH to the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVH) because of its well-established importance in the neural control of energy balance (Elmquist et al., 2005).

Analysis of DiI-labeled tissue revealed that by P12, projection pathways from the ARH to the PVH appear fully developed in the offspring of DR dams. In DR rats, labeled fibers extended rostrally through the periventricular pathway to provide dense innervation to the parvicellular parts of the PVH by P12 (Figures 1A and 1B). However, fewer ARH-labeled axons were found laterally in magnocellular parts of the nucleus. Although the overall distribution of labeled fibers in DIO rats was quite similar to that observed in DR rats, clear differences were apparent in the density of labeled fibers. In the PVH of DIO offspring, there were two to four times fewer labeled fibers compared with DR offspring on P12 (Figure 1B). The average fiber densities in the PVH remained significantly lower in DIO rats on P16 compared to DR animals at the same age (Figures 1C and 1D). A substantial disruption in the density of labeled fibers was observed in the dorsal zone of the medial parvicellular part of the PVH and in the posterior magnocellular part of the PVH. To examine whether maternal diet influences development of ARH projections, we also evaluated the density of ARH projections to the PVH of offspring derived from DIO and DR dams that were fed either chow or HE diet throughout pregnancy and lactation. No significant differences in the density of DiI-labeled fibers were found between offspring of dams fed the chow or HE diet in either DIO or DR rats (Figures 1B and 1D), suggesting that maternal diet is not a significant developmental determinant of ARH projections.

Permanent Disruption of Arcuate AgRP Fibers in DIO Rats

To determine whether the defects in ARH projections observed in neonatal DIO rats were permanent, we performed immunohistochemical labeling of AgRP in brain sections from DIO and DR rats. Because neurons that express AgRP are restricted to NPY-containing neurons of the ARH in adult animals, AgRP-immunoreactive (AgRP-IR) fibers serve as a marker for projections from ARH NPY neurons. As observed with the axonal labeling study in neonates, the density of AgRP-IR fibers was severely reduced in the PVH of adult DIO rats compared with that of DR rats (Figure 2). In adults, the density of AgRP-IR fibers in the PVH remained 2- to 4-fold lower in DIO animals relative to that of DR rats. Maternal and postnatal diets did not significantly affect the density of AgRP-IR fibers in DIO and DR rats. In contrast to what was observed with AgRP innervation, the density of aMSH-IR fibers in the PVH of DIO
rats was not significantly different from that of DR rats. However, within the DIO group, the density of α-MSH-IR fibers innervating the PVH was significantly reduced in offspring of chow-fed DIO dams compared to offspring of DIO dams fed the HE diet (Figure 3).

**Decreased Leptin Signaling in the ARH of DIO Rats during Postnatal Development**

Adult DIO rats show evidence of central leptin resistance, but whether neonates are similarly affected is unknown. To determine whether offspring of DIO dams display impaired leptin signaling in the ARH, we evaluated the number of pSTAT3-IR neurons in the ARH of DR and DIO pups derived from chow-fed dams on P10, 45 min after injection with leptin. Leptin treatment caused marked increases in pSTAT3 staining in the ARH of DR pups on P10 (Figure 4A). However, the same leptin treatment resulted in significantly fewer pSTAT3-IR cells in the ARH of DIO pups on P10 (Figure 4A). However, the same leptin treatment resulted in significantly fewer pSTAT3-IR cells in the ARH of P10 DIO rats. A quantitative analysis of this experimental material revealed that the number of pSTAT3-IR cells in the ARH of DIO rats was reduced by more than 24% compared with DR rats (Figure 4A). These results suggest that leptin signaling in ARH neurons is impaired during postnatal development in DIO rats relative to DR animals.

**The Trophic Action of Leptin on ARH Neurons Is Reduced in DIO Neonates**

Because DIO neonates exhibit central leptin resistance and because leptin is known to be critical for formation of ARH projections (Bouret et al., 2004b), we assessed whether alteration of projection pathways from the ARH in DIO rats is due to reduced ability of leptin to promote ARH neurite extension during development. Isolated organotypic explants of the ARH derived from DIO and DR pups were exposed to leptin in vitro, and the trophic response was documented by measuring neurite outgrowth. By 36 hr after addition of leptin (5 μg/ml) to the culture medium, there was a significant induction in the density of TuJ-IR neurites extending 100–200 μm from the edge of ARH explants derived from DR pups relative to explants maintained in medium lacking leptin (Figure 4B). In contrast, this response was severely blunted in explants derived from DIO animals (Figure 4C). Neurite extension from ARH neurons treated with leptin did not differ from that of control explants; however, the overall density of neurites extending from explants from DIO pups appeared slightly greater than that of control DR explants. These observations indicate that ARH neurons derived from DIO rats are significantly less responsive to the neurotrophic action of leptin than ARH neurons in explants derived from DR rats are.

**DISCUSSION**

It is generally accepted that projection pathways from the ARH to other parts of the hypothalamus play a key role in the neural control of food intake and body weight. However, an association between polygenic predisposition toward obesity and development of these critical pathways has not been examined. The axonal labeling experiments presented here indicate that pups born to dams that are predisposed to develop DIO display a significant reduction in the density of ARH axons innervating the PVH compared to offspring of DR dams. Notably, some of the most severely affected projections were those to subdivisions of the PVH that send projections to preganglionic neurons of the autonomic nervous system in the dorsal vagal complex and

**Figure 2. Arcuate AgRP Projections to the PVH Are Altered in Adult DIO Rats**
Confocal images (A) and quantitative comparisons (B) of AgRP-immunoreactive (AgRP-IR) fibers innervating the PVH of adult DIO and DR offspring of dams fed chow or HE diet during pregnancy and lactation. DIO animals showed a significant reduction in the density of AgRP-IR fibers innervating the PVH relative to DR rats, regardless of maternal diet. V3, third ventricle. Scale bar = 160 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05 between a and b.

**Figure 3. αMSH Projections to the PVH in Adult DIO and DR Rats**
Confocal images (A) and quantitative comparisons (B) of α-MSH-IR fibers innervating the PVH of adult DIO and DR offspring of dams fed chow or HE diet during pregnancy and lactation. No differences in α-MSH-IR fiber density in the PVH were found between DIO and DR rats overall. However, offspring of chow-fed DIO animals showed a significant reduction in the density of α-MSH-IR fibers innervating the PVH relative to DIO HE-diet offspring. V3, third ventricle. Scale bar = 160 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05 between a and b.
spinal cord (Swanson and Sawchenko, 1980). In addition, the defects in projections of arcuate AgRP/NPY neurons seem to be permanent in DIO animals since the density of AgRP-IR fibers innervating the PVH remained lower in adult DIO rats compared with adult DR rats. Since expression of AgRP/NPY mRNA is unaltered in adult DIO rats (Gorski et al., 2007; Ricci and Levin, 2003), low densities of AgRP-IR fibers seem to reflect a reduction in the density of NPY projections from the ARH. Similarly, the density of aMSH-IR fibers was reduced in the PVH of chow-fed DIO rats. However, because these fibers may originate from neurons located in the ARH or in the nucleus of the tractus solitarius (NTS) (Broberger et al., 1998; Sawchenko and Swanson, 1983), the decreased density of aMSH-containing fibers found in the PVH of chow-fed DIO rats may be due to altered projections from ARH POMC neurons, as well as possible changes in projections from POMC neurons located in the brain stem. Interestingly, Enriori et al. (2007) also found that leptin fails to modulate the secretion of melanocortin peptides in another animal model of DIO.

Despite a reduction in ARH projections, no differences have been reported in the size of the ARH, PVH, or DMH between DIO and DR rats (Levin and Dunn-Meynell, 2002a), suggesting that cell number in the ARH is the same in offspring of DIO and DR rats. Thus, the DIO genotype may specifically affect axonal extension of ARH neurons, as opposed to other neurodevelopmental processes specifying neuronal cell number such as neurogenesis, apoptosis, or neuronal migration. In addition, the DIO genotype seems to alter the density but not the pattern of innervation since the overall distribution of labeled fibers in the PVH was similar in DIO and DR rats.

The retarded and diminished development of ARH projections may be due to a reduction of hypothalamic leptin signaling in DIO rats that is present during the critical postnatal period when ARH projections develop. Adult DIO rats have reduced expression of LRb mRNA and [125I]leptin binding, as well as altered leptin-induced levels of pSTAT3, in several hypothalamic nuclei, including the ARH (Irani et al., 2007; Levin et al., 2003b, 2004).

Figure 4. Response of ARH Neurons to Leptin Is Reduced in DIO Neonates
(A) Leptin-induced phospho-STAT3 immunoreactivity (pSTAT3-IR) in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (ARH) of DR and DIO pups on P10. DIO neonates show a 24% reduction in the number of pSTAT3-IR cells in the ARH following leptin administration as compared to DR pups.
(B and C) Isolated organotypic cultures of ARH explants from P6 DR (A) and DIO (B) rats were incubated for 36 hr with leptin (5 μg/ml) or vehicle and then immunostained with βIII-tubulin, a marker of neurites. Leptin induces an approximately 2- to 3-fold increase in fiber density from ARH explants from DR rats. This effect was abolished in ARH explants derived from DIO rats. Scale bar = 100 μm.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.001 between control and leptin-treated explants.

Despite a reduction in ARH projections, no differences have been reported in the size of the ARH, PVH, or DMH between DIO and DR rats (Levin and Dunn-Meynell, 2002a), suggesting that cell number in the ARH is the same in offspring of DIO and DR rats. Thus, the DIO genotype may specifically affect axonal extension of ARH neurons, as opposed to other neurodevelopmental processes specifying neuronal cell number such as neurogenesis, apoptosis, or neuronal migration. In addition, the DIO genotype seems to alter the density but not the pattern of innervation since the overall distribution of labeled fibers in the PVH was similar in DIO and DR rats.

The retarded and diminished development of ARH projections may be due to a reduction of hypothalamic leptin signaling in DIO rats that is present during the critical postnatal period when ARH projections develop. Adult DIO rats have reduced expression of LRb mRNA and [125I]leptin binding, as well as altered leptin-induced levels of pSTAT3, in several hypothalamic nuclei, including the ARH (Irani et al., 2007; Levin et al., 2003b, 2004).
Here we demonstrate that DIO neonates show diminished leptin signaling, as evidenced by a significant reduction in the number of pSTAT3-IR cells present in the ARH following leptin administration compared to the significant induction in pSTAT3-IR seen in DR pups. This finding is consistent with recent data suggesting that leptin signaling is required for the normal development of ARH projections in mice. Leptin deficiency in mice causes a permanent reduction in the density of axons that project from the ARH to the PVH (Bouret et al., 2004b), and similar disruptions have been observed in leptin receptor-deficient animals such as Lepr<sup>ob</sup>/Lepr<sup>ob</sup> mice (S.G.B. et al., unpublished data) and Zucker rats (Bouret and Simerly, 2007). Furthermore, data in mice indicate that the LRb-STAT3 signaling pathway is specifically required for normal development of ARH projections (S.G.B. et al., unpublished data). Therefore, suppression of leptin signaling in ARH neurons likely contributes to the relative leptin insensitivity observed in the ARH of DIO rats, which may in turn be responsible for abnormal development of ARH projections. Consistent with this hypothesis, our in vitro experiments revealed that ARH neurons of DIO rats were not responsive to the trophic action of leptin, whereas leptin caused robust extension of neurite outgrowth from ARH explants derived from DR pups. The neurodevelopmental abnormalities observed in DIO rats therefore seem to be caused at least in part by altered hypothalamic leptin sensitivity at the level of the ARH neurons, rather than by alterations in leptin levels, which appear to be similar in DIO and DR rats until the eighth week of life (Ricci and Levin, 2003). Whether this apparent alteration in leptin sensitivity is due to leptin receptor-mediated events or changes in the neurodevelopmental mechanisms influenced by leptin signaling remains to be determined.

Attenuated insulin signaling may also have deleterious consequences for development of ARH circuits in DIO rats. Insulin levels are elevated in offspring of DIO mothers fed a HE diet during the critical period of hypothalamic development (Gorski et al., 2007). In addition, the milk of DIO dams contains high levels of insulin (Gorski et al., 2006), and <sup>125</sup>I insulin binding is reduced in the ARH of DIO rats compared with DR rats regardless of diet (Irani et al., 2007). When considered together with the overall importance of insulin signaling for other aspects of brain development (Heidenreich and Toledo, 1989; Puro and Agardh, 1984; Recio-Pinto et al., 1984), these observations suggest that altered insulin signaling in DIO rats during development may contribute to abnormal development of ARH projections. That direct injection of insulin to the region of the mediobasal hypothalamus in the immediate postnatal period—a critical period for the development of projections from the ARH to the PVH—induces lasting effects on body weight and energy balance later in life is consistent with this hypothesis (Plagemann et al., 1992).

In addition to genetic factors, a variety of studies in humans and rodents suggest that maternal nutrition and the presence of maternal obesity or diabetes during gestation can have long-lasting effects on the development of obesity and diabetes in offspring. Thus, pups born to obese mothers have an enhanced risk of becoming obese and developing metabolic syndrome, especially if they have a genetic predisposition to develop DIO (Guo and Jen, 1995; Levin and Govek, 1998). Furthermore, exposure of pregnant dams to a high-fat diet results in permanent changes in gene expression of hypothalamic neuropeptides regulating energy balance in the offspring (Gorski et al., 2007). However, in our animal model, maternal diet did not have a significant influence on development of ARH projections. These results suggest that in offspring of dams selectively bred to express the DIO or DR genotype, genetic background prevails over environmental factors to influence formation of ARH projection pathways. However, maternal diet did appear to influence development of projection pathways containing α-MSH in DIO rats. Since these projections may originate from either ARH or NTS neurons, it remains to be determined whether maternal nutrition impacts the development of one of these populations of POMC neurons. Furthermore, we cannot exclude the possibility that maternal or postweaning diet may induce more subtle changes in ARH circuitry, such as changes in synapse density or peptide release. It is also possible that dietary factors may influence development of other components of hypothalamic feeding circuits yet to be identified. Nevertheless, the results of the present study strongly suggest that one of the underlying causes of leptin resistance in DIO animals may be immature formation of axonal projections that normally distribute leptin signals throughout the hypothalamus.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals and Diets**

Animal usage was in compliance with and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the East Orange (NJ) Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the Saban Research Institute of Childrens Hospital Los Angeles. Breeding pairs were derived from rats bred selectively for their propensity to genetically develop DIO or DR (Levin et al., 1997, 2003a). DIO and glucose-intolerant phenotypes appear inherited as a polygenic trait in this model since breeding these rats against obesity-resistant Fisher F344 rats transmits the phenotype completely (Levin et al., 2003a). Rats were housed at 23–24°C on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. At weaning, 14 DIO and 14 DR females were divided into one of four groups of 7 dams each and fed ad libitum, as follows. Group 1: DR chow dams were fed Purina rat chow (#5001). Group 2: DR HE-diet dams were fed a high-energy (HE) diet composed of 8% corn oil, 44% sweetened condensed milk, and 48% Purina rat chow (Research Diets #D12296B) and were also given access to chocolate Ensure (Ross Products) (Levin and Govek, 1998). Group 3: DIO chow dams were fed Purina rat chow. Group 4: DIO HE-diet dams were fed the HE diet (Levin and Govek, 1998). After 8 weeks on the diet, dams were mated with males of the same genotype and then kept on their respective diets through gestation and lactation. At birth, all litters were culled to 10 pups. Some animals were used for Dil implantation (n = 8–10 animals per group), and others were used for AgRP and α-MSH immunostainings (n = 6 animals per group). For the latter purpose, male pups (n = 6–7 per group) were fed powdered chow (pups from DR and DIO chow dams) or HE diet (pups from DR and DIO HE-diet dams) from weaning (P21) until 12 weeks of age and then processed for immunostaining.

**Dil Implants**

Male rat pups from each group (n = 8–10 per group) of animals were anesthetized and perfused on P12 or P16 with 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were removed and numerically coded to insure unbiased processing and analysis, and 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI; Molecular Probes) crystals were implanted as described previously (Bouret et al., 2004a, 2004b). Briefly, an insect pin was used to place a crystal of DiI (35 μm in diameter) into the ARH of each brain under visual guidance. After incubation in the dark for 5 weeks at 37°C, sections were collected through the hypothalamus from each brain and evaluated by both conventional fluorescence and confocal microscopy.

**AgRP and α-MSH Immunohistochemistry**

Because the efficacy of Dil labeling decreases in adult animals, we used AgRP immunolabeling as a marker for ARH projections (Bouret et al., 2004b). Anesthetized male rats were perfused transcardially at 12 weeks of age with 4% paraformaldehyde, and the brains were frozen, sectioned at 30 μm, and
processed for immunofluorescence as described previously (Bouret et al., 2004b).

Quantitative Analysis
For quantification, four to six animals with implant sites closely matched in terms of location and diffusion of the tracer were selected for analysis. For each animal, two sections through the PVH were acquired using a Leica SP confocal microscope equipped with a 10× objective (numerical aperture 0.40; working distance 360 μm), which then took 20 virtual optical sections throughout each PVH of each animal. Image analysis was performed using MetaMorph image analysis software (Universal Imaging) (Bouret et al., 2004a, 2004b). Briefly, each image plane was binarized and skeletonized. The integrated intensity was then calculated for each image, which was proportional to the total length of labeled fibers in the image. This procedure was carried out on each image plane in the stack, and the values for all image planes in the stack were summed.

pSTAT3 Immunostaining and Analysis
DIO and DR rats (n = 6 per group) were injected intraperitoneally on P10 with leptin (National Hormone and Peptide Program [http://www.humec.edu/hormones/material.html]); 5 mg/kg) and were perfused 45 min later with a solution of 2% paraformaldehyde. We previously reported that vehicle-injected animals have a low density of pSTAT3-IR cells and display no variation between DIO and DR rats (Levin et al., 2004). Frozen coronal sections were cut at 35 μm thickness and processed for pSTAT3 immunostaining as described previously (Levin et al., 2004). pSTAT3-immunopositive cells were counted manually using a Bioquant image analysis system (Nashville, TN, USA). The average number of cells counted in three ARH sections in each rat was used for statistical comparisons.

Isolated ARH Explant Cultures
Brains were collected from DIO and DR rats on P6 and sectioned at 200 μm with a vibroslicer. The ARH was then carefully dissected out of each section under a stereomicroscope. Explants were cultured on rat tail collagen matrix (Upstate) in EOL-1 serum-free medium as described previously (Bouret et al., 2004b; Ibanez et al., 2001). Beginning on the first day in vitro, each explant was transferred to fresh medium containing either leptin (PeproTech, 5 μg/ml) or vehicle alone (5 mM sodium citrate buffer) (n = 5–7 per group). After 36 hr, explants were fixed in paraformaldehyde and neurites were stained with J III-tubulin (TuJ1 monoclonal antibody, Babco). Digital images were then collected with a Zeiss Z1 motorized microscope. The resulting image stack was analyzed using MetaMorph image analysis software (Universal Imaging). Briefly, each image was deconvolved, binarized according to user-defined threshold criteria, and then skeletonized. The integrated intensity was calculated in four different regions of interest (100 × 100 μm) spaced at 100, 200, 300, and 400 μm extending radially from the edge of the explants.

Statistical Analysis
Data sets were analyzed for statistical significance using StatView (SAS Institute) for a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, with a Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc comparison.

Supplemental Data
Supplemental Data include one figure and can be found with this article online at http://www.cellmetabolism.org/cgi/content/full/7/2/179/DC1/.
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